

Large keloids on the head and neck can be treated by free tissue transfer with an anterior thigh flap.

Nicholas Carr

Corresponding author

Nicholas Carr
Division of Plastic Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia

Received Date: Sep 26, 2022

Accepted Date: Sep 27, 2022

Published Date: Oct 26, 2022

Abstract

Keloids are cosmetically, mentally and practically incapacitating injuries that have demonstrated hard to treat with careful extraction alone. Huge keloids are especially difficult to treat in light of the fact that large numbers of the mongrel lease treatments for keloids are unacceptable, and the leftover deformity after extraction is challenging to close. The creators report a case including a huge distorting keloid on the head and neck, which was treated with careful extraction and quick reproduction with a free anterolateral thigh fasciocutaneous fold. No further adjuvant treatment was embraced. At one-year follow-up, there was no repeat at the beneficiary site, and the benefactor site mended without deformation. The free fasciocutaneous anterolateral thigh fold might be compelling at treating huge keloids in the head and neck district; notwithstanding, very much controlled clinical examinations are expected to lay out this.

Key words

Anterolateral thigh; Free fold; Keloid; Microsurgery; Scar

Introduction

Keloids are a strange expansion of stringy scar tissue that, while harmless in nature, pathognomonically attack the encompassing dermis (1). Clinically, keloids vary from hypertrophic scars in that they outperform the limit of the first injury, manifest numerous months after injury, are less receptive to treatment, regularly repeat after extraction and don't immediately relapse (2,3). Skin type, as well as area of endlessly wound pressure, have all been connected to the improvement of keloids, with the rate of keloids being essentially as high as 16% operating at a profit, Hispanic and Asian populaces (2,4). Keloids region associated with weakening corrective, mental and practical grimness and, in spite of

broad examination, actually demonstrate extremely challenging to treat. Right now, silicone sheeting/gel and pressure is viewed as first-line as a prophylactic and treatment choice for keloids, with intralesional infusion of corticosteroids being the preferred technique for treatment for keloids advancing past four weeks to a half year (3). At the year point and then some, nonresponsive keloids are dealt with careful extraction in mix with iridium, restricted radiotherapy, intralesional cryotherapy or intralesional corticosteroid infusion (3). Sadly, a significant number of these medicines are not reasonable for huge deforming keloids. The utilization of nearby perforator folds and free folds to treat enormous disfiguring keloids is a fascinating and arising point; be that as it may, right now there are not many models in the writing and no very much controlled clinical preliminaries (5). As of late, Wang et al (5) showed the utilization of inward mammary course perforator (IMAP) folds and predominant epigastric conduit perforator (SEAP) folds to be extremely encouraging for the treatment of enormous keloids in the lower sternum and upper mid-region. There are just two case reports in the writing depicting the utilization of free folds to treat keloids (6,7). AC Van Slyke, N Carr, A Hodges. The utilization of free tissue move with an anterolateral thigh fold for the treatment of huge keloids on the head and neck. *Plast Surg Contextual investigations* 2016;2(2):35-36. Keloids are cosmetically, mentally and practically crippling injuries that have demonstrated challenging to treat with careful extraction alone. Huge keloids are especially difficult to treat in light of the fact that a large number of the mutt lease treatments for keloids are unacceptable, and the leftover imperfection after extraction is challenging to close. The creators report a case including an enormous deforming keloid on the head and neck, which was treated with careful extraction and quick remaking with a free anterolateral thigh fasciocutaneous fold. No further adjuvant treatment was embraced. At one-year follow-up, there was no repeat at the beneficiary site, and the contributor site recuperated without distortion. The free fasciocutaneous anterolateral thigh fold might be compelling at treating enormous keloids in the head and neck district; in any case, very much controlled clinical examinations are expected to lay out this. **Key Words:** Anterolateral thigh; Free fold; Keloid; Microsurgery; Scar The anterolateral thigh (ALT) fold is a solid fascial or fasciocutaneous fold, in light of the perforators of the slipping part of the parallel circumflex femoral course, which has been utilized in a few applications (8,9). Here, we present a case including a huge keloid in a patient with a postponed show and no past mediation. At one-year postsurgical extraction and prompt recreation with an ALT free fold, there was no proof of repeat.

Case Presentation

A 29-year-old individual of color supported consumes to the left half of his face and neck. He gave keloid scars at the site of injury, including a huge keloid of the left half of his neck and nuchal locale. He had not gotten any past medicines at the hour of presenta-tion. On September 26, 2012, the keloid was extracted, leaving a 15 cm × 8 cm imperfection, and prompt remaking with a free ALT fold from the left thigh was performed at the Division of Plastic Medical procedure, Extensive Restoration Administrations in Uganda (CoRSU) Recovery Clinic, Kisubi, Uganda. The free ALT fasciocuta-neous fold was chosen for recreation in view of its capacity to fill enormous deformities with less mass, substantiating itself valuable for huge imperfections in cosmetically delicate areas like the head and neck (8,9).In the working theater, the keloid was totally extracted down to ordinary unscarred tissue and the facial vessels were uncovered. The perforator of the anterolateral thigh fold was identified by Doppler and a format of the imperfection was moved to the anterolateral thigh and to determine the size of the flap and the skin paddle, the perforator was the focal point. Based on one perforator—the descending branch of the lateral circumflex femoral vessels—the ALT was raised in the conventional manner (8,9). While the first donor vein was anastomosed end-to-side onto the external jugular vein and the second donor vein was anastomosed end-to-end to a branch of the external jugular vein, the perforator was microanastomosed to the facial artery in an end-to-end method. After the flap was stitched into place, a Doppler scan revealed that all vessels were flowing properly. The flap kept its healthy blood supply. A split-thickness skin graft from the left thigh was used to seal the donor site, and conventional gauze dressings were applied to all wounds. Adjuvant treatment was not given to the patient.

DISCUSSION

While there is a huge collection of proof in the writing coordinated at treating keloids (3), powerful medicines of enormous distorting keloids are as yet being investigated. We are just mindful of two case reports in the writing that have detailed the utilization of free tissue move to treat huge keloids. Economides and Ferrell (6) detailed the utilization of a free Cable car without adjuvant treatment to treat a huge repetitive keloid in the lower neck suprasternal region that went through two past extractions; there was no repeat at two-year follow-up and the contributor site recuperated without deformation. Chen et al (7) utilized a parallel arm free fold to treat a tainted, repetitive enormous keloid in the midline of the lower neck, and afterward controlled four meetings of adjuvant radiotherapy; there was no repeat at 18-month follow up and the giver site mended without deformity. Here, we present an instance of a huge straightforward keloid that has shown great reaction to careful extraction and quick reconstruc-tion with an ALT free fold. At one-year follow up, there was no re-

peat rence at the beneficiary site and no advancement of ke-loid at the giver site. The current report is quick to portray the utilization of an ALT free fold to treat huge keloids. We picked the ALT fasciocutaneous free fold since it bears the cost of adequate tissue to close enormous imperfections without huge strain, it has low benefactor site dreariness, and the overall absence of mass empowers a more superficial outcome in a noticeable region like the head and neck (8,9).The present case gives additional proof to propose the utilization of free tissue move to treat enormous keloids that are unsatisfactory for nearby perforator folds, for example, the SEAP and IMAP folds (5). The current case is the primary wherein a microvas-cular free fold was decided to treat a straightforward keloid that was not contaminated, intermittent and had not gotten any past mediations (6,7). Moreover, dissimilar to the case in-troduced by Chen et al (7), here we show that free tissue move might find success in treating keloids without the utilization of adjunctive radiotherapy. This finding is huge given the gam-ble for repeat of keloids treated with careful extraction alone has been accounted for to be half to 100 percent (3). As a matter of fact, we would have expected some keloid repeat rence around the edges of the fold and, would consequently, really like to follow our patients closer and direct intralesional triamcinolone infusions postoperatively. Nonetheless, be-cause of requirements of cost of movement for most of our patients in Uganda, we couldn't see this patient before one year. We propose that the utilization of prompt reproduction with a free fold after careful extraction might bear the cost of overabundance laxity to the injury edges, limiting injury strain and, maybe, refuting the requirement for adjuvant radiation or intralesional therapy. We give extra proof to the utilization of microvascular tissue move to treat enormous keloids. Free tissue move might act as an addi-tional and dependable de-vice for the plastic specialist endeavoring to treat disfig-uring keloids that present late and are not agreeable to the ongoing treatment suggestions (3); in any case, all around controlled preliminaries are required around here.

References

1. Smith OJ, McGrouther DA. The natural history and spontaneous resolution of keloid scars. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2014;67:87-92.
2. Alster TS, Tanzi EL. Hypertrophic scars and keloids. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2003;4:235-43.
3. Monstrey S, Middelkoop E, Vranckx JJ, et al. Updated scar management practical guidelines: non-invasive and invasive measures. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2014;67:1017-25.
4. Visscher MO, Bailey JK, Hom DB. Scar treatment variations by skin type. *Facial Plast Surg Clin North Am* 2014;22:453-62.

5. Wang J, Min P, Grasseti L, et al. Preliminary outcomes of distal IMAP and SEAP flaps for the treatment of unstable keloids subject to recurrent inflammation and infections in the lower sternal and upper abdominal areas. *J Reconstr Microsurg* 2015; In press.
6. Economides NG, Ferrell TH. Neck resurfacing with free TRAM flap. *Microsurgery* 1992;13:240-2.
7. Chen Y, Chhabra N, Liu YCC, Zender CA. Lateral arm microvascular free tissue reconstruction of a large neck keloid. *Am J Otolaryngol* 2014;35:514-6.
8. Kuo YR, Jeng SF, Kuo MH, Liu YT, Lai PW. Versatility of the free anterolateral thigh flap for reconstruction of soft-tissue defects: Review of 140 cases. *Ann Plast Surg* 2002;48:161-6.
9. Wei FC, Jain V, Celik N, Chen HC, Chuang DC, Lin CH. Have we found an ideal soft-tissue flap? An experience with 672 anterolateral thigh flaps. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2002;109:2219-26.10.