

Childhood intravascular pyrogenic granuloma

Stuart A Bade

Corresponding author

Stuart A Bade
Division of Plastic Surgery, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario

Received Date: Sep 12, 2022

Accepted Date: Sep 13, 2022

Published Date: Oct 13, 2022

Abstract

A mere 30 cases of intravascular pyrogenic granuloma have been reported in the literature, making it a rare tumour. Middle-aged people are most likely to experience it in the veins of the head, neck, and upper extremity. Few studies describing this lesion have been published in the paediatric literature. We present a case of a 14-year-old kid with an intravenous pyrogenic granuloma.

Key words

lobular capillary hemangioma, paediatrics, and intravascular pyrogenic granuloma

Introduction

A very uncommon benign intraluminal vascular tumour is called an intravenous pyrogenic granuloma (IVPG). Cooper et al. provided the initial description of it in 1979. (1). Histologically, it resembles the far more prevalent and well-known kind of pyrogenic granuloma that develops on surfaces lined with epithelia, such as the epidermis and oral mucosa, but the capillary proliferation in IVPG is wholly intravascular. Clinically, it typically manifests as a vague mass in the veins of the neck or upper limb in middle age (1). There are not many case studies of this tumour in kids.

Case Presentation

A 14-year-old male gave an eight-month history of an aggravation less irregularity on the spiral part of his distal right lower arm. There was no particular history of injury. Ten months already, he had an enchondroma extracted from his right center finger. On clinical test ination, there was a nontender versatile fusiform subcutaneous mass estimating roughly 10 mm × 5 mm. There were no skin changes overlying the injury. Notwithstanding, there were weak bistro au lait macules noted on the patient's neck and average part of the right upper arm. Prior to show at

The Medical clinic for Wiped out Kids (Toronto, Ontario), a ultrasound of the injury had been performed showing a strong sore estimating 20 mm × 8 mm × 4 mm. The recommended vary ential finding from the ultrasound included epidermal incorporation sore, ganglion growth or schwanoma. Taking into account the patient's bistro au lait macules, preoperatively the mass was accepted to doubtlessly address a nerve sheath cancer related with the shallow spiral nerve. Under general sedation and tourniquet control, a longitudinal entry point was made straight over the injury. The cancer was viewed as inside the cephalic vein (Figure 1). A fragment of the cephalic vein was resected including the cancer and a part of visibly typical vein at every edge (Figure 2). Histology uncovered that the sore inside the vein contained a multiplication of narrow size directs organized in lobules isolated by sinewy connective tissue. The sore was joined to the vein wall at one perspective where there was loss of the ordinary muscle layer of the vein. The sore was positive for CD31 and negative for GLUT1 and D2-40. This appearance is consistent with an IVPG.

DISCUSSION

Pyrogenic granuloma is a typical benign vascular tumour that can be acquired (2). It typically happens on surfaces with epithelial linings, including the skin and oral and vaginal mucosa. They frequently occur in kids. They manifest as a red-purple mass that is fast growing, sessile or pedunculated, and prone to bleeding and ulcers (2)

Conversely, IVPG are interesting intravascular growths (1,3-5). Histologically, they are portrayed by a lobular expansion of vessels comparative in appearance to the more normal cutaneous pyrogenic granulomas (1). They vary from their cutaneous partners by being kept to the lumen of a vein and have a meager inflammatory cell invade. They most ordinarily happen inside the veins of the head and neck and upper extremities. Cooper et al (1) first depicted 18 instances of a formerly unrecognized substance and instituted the term intravenous pyrogenic granuloma. Their review recognized commonplace discoveries of intraluminal polyps joined to vein walls by fibrovascular stalks. These polyps were made out of lobules of vessels isolated by meager shaft cells in a fibromyxoid stoma. This appearance is indistinguishable from straightforward pyrogenic granulomas. IVPG miss the mark on critical provocative penetrate, which is a typical optional component in mucocutaneous pyrogenic granulomas that will generally be often damaged. The case introduced in the current report exhibits a few similarities and contrasts contrasted and recently revealed cases. Like this patient, IVPG have most usually been accounted for in the furthest point (1,6,7). They most ordinarily present as a vague asymptomatic subcutaneous mass. There is typically no set of

experiences of past injury. There are no trademark elements of the presentation that permit a preoperative conclusion to be made. Determination depends on histopathological assessment. The patient introduced in the current case report was considerably more youthful than the vast majority of the recently introduced cases (3-7). Rather than the more normal mucocutaneous pyogenic granulomas, IVPG is uncommon in kids. A large portion of the detailed cases happen in middle age. In the first investigation of IVPG, the mean age at show was 38 years (1). Also, there have been no past reports of IVPG in relationship with a background marked by enchondroma. Different enchondromas have been depicted in relationship with vascular mutations and bistro au lait macules in Mafucci condition. The case introduced in the current report included a solitary enchondroma and single vascular peculiarity with bistro au lait macules. While this is plainly not an instance of Mafucci condition and most likely addresses an unplanned event of these injuries, this patient will keep on being followed. The pathogenesis of IVPG is obscure (1,4). A harmless injury exhibits no propensity for hematogenous spread. Since the conclusion of IVPG can't be made clinically, the significance of IVPG lies in its histological separation from other intravascular sores including vegetant intravascular hemangioendothelioma, inflammatory, angiomatous knob and angiosarcoma (1,3-5). According to a careful point of view, extraction of the injury with an edge of perceptibly typical vein seems healing.

7. DiFazio F, Morgan J. Intravenous pyogenic granuloma of the hand. *J Hand Surg* 1989;14A:310-2.

References

1. Cooper PH, McAllister HA, Helwig EB. Intravenous pyogenic granuloma – a study of 18 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1979;3:221-8.
2. Harris MN, Desai R, Chuang TY, Hood AF, Mirowski GW. Lobular capillary hemangiomas: An epidemiological report, with emphasis on cutaneous lesions. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2000;42:1012-6.
3. Song, MG, Kim, HJ, Lee, ES. Intravenous pyogenic granuloma. *Int J Dermatol* 2001;40:57-9.
4. Hung C, Kuo H, Chiu Y, Huang P. Intravascular pyogenic granuloma arising in an acquired arteriovenous malformation: Report of a case and review of the literature. *Dermatol Surg* 2004;30:1050-3.
5. Kocer U, Aksoy HM, Tiftikcioglu YO, Karaaslan O. Intravenous pyogenic granuloma of the hand. *Dermatol Surg* 2003;29:974-6.
6. Anderson WJ. Intravenous pyogenic granuloma of the hand. *J Hand Surg* 1985;10A:7289.